Pros and Cons of current water planning process and committees

| Positives (generally grouped – 1 st attempt) | |
|---|---|
| Involvement of Senator (Advocacy) – | Knowing exactly Tuvalu Water Needs – Tuvalu |
| Political Influence FSM | Good technical skill and knowledge – |
| Water is Govt national priority Nauru | Tonga |
| | |
| Draft IWRM Plan exists to continue to work – Tuvalu | Intersectoral Water and Life |
| WOD Delevi | Interagency links are strong RMI |
| WSP Palau | Grassroot groups are represented –RMI |
| Water Sector Policy (draft) – SI | |
| Recognized and mandated under WRM Act 2002 – Vanuatu | Better coordination between water sector SI |
| Water Resource Legislation (draft) SI | |
| Implementation Process (action) – FSM | Increased activities within the water sector – Nauru |
| Project coordination and approval – Vanuatu | Committee members are Active – RMI |
| vanuatu | Committee members are Active – Nivii |
| Budget approvalNauru | Good way to enhance profile of water resource management across wide societal interests Caribbean |
| | |

Establishment of Committee -- Palau

Position allocated to the development of national water policy and formation of committee – Nauru

Independence

--chair

--SUNGO - SAMOA

Gender Equity – SAMOA

Functioning well and meeting quarterly – Vanuatu

Representative - FSM

Regular meetings -- Fiji

Very Transparent - Niue

Networking established and working – Niue

Proactive and very vocal - Niue

Dedication Willingness - Tonga

Form lobby for action – access to resources – Caribbean

Well attend - Tonga

Able to address multiple issues/ initiatives in coordinated manner eg. climate change links -- Caribbean

Un-grouped positive

Developed the Demo proposal - PNG

Still in communication on IWRM activities - PNG

Sustainable - PNG

Support from SOPAC - Fiji

Available networks - FIJI

Availing water tanks right now -- Tuvalu

| Negatives/ not working well - 1 st attempt at grouping | |
|--|---|
| Date of the second seco | Continuous change in leadership – Fiji |
| Lack of resources – Palau | Un-sustainable committee (political |
| Need alot of financial support – Vanuatu | changes) – FSM |
| Lack of resources PNG | Membership Palau |
| Lack of coordination in water sector – | Expand mambership – Vanuatu |
| Nauru | Limited membership on committee – SI |
| Community member capacity building for public awareness – RMI | Gender imbalance – no girls – Niue |
| Poor coordination in the community by Public Awareness Team members RMI | Trying to have everyone there avoid bad witches Samoa |
| Political support not good – SI | No water committee – Nauru |
| Occasionally politicized – Caribbean | Committee not formalise yet Niue |
| Limited political-level championship – Caribbean | Lack of commitment of committee members – Cook Islands |
| Lack of support from Govt Tuvalu | Commitment from members Palau |
| Lack of political support – no mandate – Cook Islands | Poor representations from committee members – Tuvalu |
| Not clear mandate – Tonga | Lack of commitment PNG |
| Unclear mandates or TORs – Tuvalu | Busy schedule – Samoa |
| Redefine TOR Vanuatu | Currently Water Safety Committee ineffective – Cook Islands |
| No advocate committee FSM | ineriective – Cook Islands |
| | Members too many responsibility – Niue |
| | No consistent driver i.e. lack of dedicated process mgmt team Caribbean |
| Incentives to attend | limited sharing of water resources |
| allowances (CC) – Samoa | Nauru |
| Transportation for community reps RMI | |
| Limited stakeholder involvement SI | |
| | |

Un-grouped negatives

Delays from stakeholders on comments to draft policy – Fiji

Awareness – Fiji

Not well inform - Tonga

Not well rep. - Tonga

No solid comm. Network - PNG

Less capacity -- FSM